

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No. 10/664,126	Applicant(s) TODD ET AL.
Examiner JOHN J. FIGUEROA		Art Unit 1796	

All Participants:

(1) JOHN J. FIGUEROA.

(2) ELIZABETH L. DURHAM.

Date of Interview: 12 March 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 9:00 AM

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

15, 21 and 47

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/John J. Figueroa/

Examiner, Art Unit 1796

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative agreed to an Examiner's amendment that amends independent method claim 15 to limit the degradable material to be (poly)orthoester or orthoester; amend transition language in claim 21 to "further comprising"; rejoins the method claims that were previously withdrawn (23-27 and 31); and cancels the current composition claims (47-68 and 83).